



MAIL STOP RCE

#25
Reg. Recon
1-27-09
NW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: T. Sauter

Attorney Docket No.: KTW0111889

Application No.: 09/145,381

Group Art Unit: 3618

Filed: September 1, 1998

Examiner: H.V. Phan

Title: VIBRATION DAMPENING SKATE FRAME

RECEIVED

DEC 08 2003

GROUP 3600

RESPONSE

Seattle, Washington 98101

December 2, 2003

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-21, 24-27, and 29-34 are pending in the above-referenced patent application. In a final Office Action mailed on June 3, 2003, Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Claims 3-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 6-13 were noted as containing allowable subject matter. Finally, Claims 14-21, 24, 25, 27, and 29-34 were allowed.

In view of the following comments, applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

As noted above, Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,951,027, issued to Oyen et al. The Office Action sets forth the position that the skate frame of Oyen et al. includes a shock absorbent in-line roller skate that includes a "flexible plastic (68), which is capable of vibration dampening formed with the sidewalls of the first structural member for absorbing at least a portion of the vibrational energy transmitted from the surface to the shoe portion when the skate traverses the surface." (Final Office Action, pages 2-3.) However, the Office Action admits that Oyen et al. fail to show that the flexible vibration dampening means is "integrally formed with the sidewalls." (Final Office Action,

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{LLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100